

Report of Head of Scrutiny and Member Development

Report to Scrutiny Board (Regeneration)

Date: 19th December 2011

Subject: Taxi Access - Whitehouse Lane

Are specific electoral Wards affected? If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): Otley and Yeadon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Scrutiny Board at its last meeting considered a report of the Director of City Development on the basis for the estimated costs for the provision of taxi facilities on Whitehouse Lane. The report gave a breakdown of the cost estimate and the design principles used together with an explanation of the differences with the originally quoted estimate for this scheme.
- 1.2 The Board requested a further report at today's meeting on the advice and guidance that was received by the new engineering support team for the revised scheme proposed for Whitehouse Lane which justifies the higher standard of road proposed for a taxi rank when the road itself is unclassified.

2. Report of the Director of City Development

- 2.1 A report of the Director of City Development is currently being prepared for consideration at today's meeting and will be circulated to all Members of the Board and placed on the Council's internet site on receipt.
- 2.2 Members at the last meeting asked the Directorate to check whether there was any missing relevant correspondence with the Leeds Bradford International Airport that had been provided to the Board between the period 8th April and 25th July 2011. The Director of City Development has confirmed that there is no further relevant correspondence in the "gap" highlighted by the Board.

3. Recommendation

- 3.1 Members are asked to consider the report of the Director of City Development on receipt and determine what, if any, further action, information or scrutiny the Board wishes to undertake on this matter.

Background documents

5. None used